Report to: Audit Committee

Date of meeting: 30 June 2010

Report of: Audit Manager

Title: Implementation of Internal Audit Recommendations

1.0 **SUMMARY**

This is a new, quarterly report to the Committee explaining the new follow up arrangements for Internal Audit recommendations and detailing those recommendations which have not been implemented in accordance with agreed timescales.

2.0 **RECOMMENDATIONS**

- 2.1 Committee notes the new arrangements.
- 2.2 Committee instructs the relevant Heads of Services to implement the recommendations with immediate effect or provide explanations for failure to do so to the next meeting of this Committee..

Contact Officer:

For further information on this report please contact: Barry Austin, Audit Manager Telephone 01923 727462, email: barry.austin@watford.gov.uk

Report approved by: Bernard Clarke, Head of Strategic Finance

3.0 **DETAILED PROPOSAL**

- 3.1 Until now the practice for following up on the implementation of recommendations has been for the Audit Manager to contact Heads of Services on a rolling monthly basis to obtain written confirmation that all High and Medium Risk recommendations made in reports issued three months earlier had been implemented.
- Failure to act on recommendations, reply to the Audit Manager or provide a reasonable explanation/alternative course of action led to a report to the relevant Corporate Director for action.
- 3.3 Irrespective of the above, all key system recommendations were automatically followed up during the following audit or, occasionally, a follow-up audit was carried out.
- Under the new process the monthly chase up arrangements will continue but without several reminders being issued. Instead of reporting to a Corporate Director, all outstanding recommendations are now being reported to Leadership Team on a quarterly basis and to Quarterly Review meetings. Failure to act at this stage will now result in a report to the Audit Committee.
- 3.5 The following schedule contains details of two audit reports for which there has been no response from the Head of Service.
- 3.6 These new arrangements are being introduced as part of the Managing the Organisation agenda, to raise the profile of audit recommendations and to ensure prompt action thereby strengthening governance arrangements.
- 3.7 Progress will be noted in the next report.

4.0 **IMPLICATIONS**

4.1 Financial

- 4.1.1 The Head of Strategic Finance comments that there are no immediate implications arising from this report.
- 4.2 **Legal Issues** (Monitoring Officer)
- 4.2.1 The Head of Legal and Property Services comments that there are no legal implications in the report. The Council is under a duty to maintain an adequate and effective system of internal audit of its system of its accounting records and of its systems of internal control.

4.3 **Potential Risks**

4.3.1

Progress in implementing Internal Audit 1 recommendations is not monitored, recommendations are not implemented and as a consequence internal controls.	Potential Risk	Likelihood	Impact	Overall score
are weakened.	recommendations is not monitored, recommendations are not implemented and as a consequence, internal controls	1	3	3

Background Papers

The following background papers were used in the preparation of this report. If you wish to inspect or take copies of the background papers, please contact the officer named on the front page of the report:

Internal Audit Files.

File Reference

None.

Implementation of Internal Audit Recommendations

Ref No.	Recommendation	Priority	Action to Date	Responsibility	Deadline	Resolved x/√	Revised deadline
5.1.20	A process for consistently entering relevant dates onto the Academy system to ensure the correct classification of overpayment error should be developed, approved, documented and adopted for the shared Revenues & Benefits service – including appropriate training for staff. This approach must be demonstrably consistent with the existing legislation and should be tested against the expectations of the external auditors.		Agreed. The Benefits section at Three Rivers District Council already classifies overpayment error as Local Authority error from the date at which the council was first aware of the change that led to the overpayment. Process maps have been prepared for all the processes to be operated by the shared Revenues & Benefits service. The process maps for dealing with changes in circumstances and overpayments will be updated to make explicit reference to the need to record dates correctly. Specific guidance notes will be given to staff to supplement the process maps in relation to recording the relevant dates correctly. Staff received training on the use of the Academy system over a three week	Benefit Manager for Shared Service	February 2010	*/√	

Housing and Council Tax Benefit Administrative Systems Final report issued January 2010 Ref No. Recommendation Priority Action to Date Responsibility Deadline Resolved Revised deadline x/√ classifying overpayment error. Quality assurance checking of at least 30% of the work performed each week will identify whether there is an issue relating to the classification of overpayments once shared services is fully implemented. Agreed. Documentation will be kept to The Shared Services Project evidence all of the user acceptance Team should ensure that all testing performed by shared services testing of the Academy system staff, including details of the exact test by Shared Services staff, and performed, the claim references the resolution of identified tested, the date of the test, the results Benefit errors, is fully documented. This of the test and the outcome of any Manager for HIGH 5.2.25 Immediate. evidence will supplement the work identified as necessary to correct Shared evidence of testing performed Service errors. by Capita and will support the decision on whether or not to A list of the expected tests has been prepared by Capita and will also be perform the final acceptance sign off of the new system. signed off by them once the testing has been completed by shared services staff.

Housing and Council Tax Benefit Administrative Systems Final report issued January 2010 Ref No. Recommendation Priority Action to Date Responsibility Deadline Resolved Revised deadline x/√ This documentation will be maintained on a testing file to provide a comprehensive source of evidence to support the decision to sign off the Academy system as satisfactory. The resourcing of the manual offsetting of prior year overpayments from the CIVICA system against newly awarded benefit within the Academy system should be formally addressed as part of the **Immediate** Revenues & Benefits Shared Shared (prior to the x Service project plan prior to the Services "go-live" date implementation of the shared Agreed. Head of 5.2.26 HIGH for the service. Failure to resource this Revenues & Academy appropriately from the outset of **Benefits** system). the shared service will increase the risk of qualification of the subsidy claim for 2009/2010 should a backlog of outstanding adjustments remain unprocessed when the subsidy claim is produced. Finance and the Revenues & Agreed. Benefit February 5.2.28 Benefits Shared Service Project HIGH Manager for × 2010 Team should liaise at the The Benefits Manager and Shared Shared

Housing and Council Tax Benefit Administrative Systems Final report issued January 2010 Recommendation Ref No. Action to Date Responsibility Deadline Resolved Priority Revised deadline x/√ earliest possible opportunity to Services Head of Revenues & Service ensure that necessary Benefits will arrange to meet with the arrangements for reconciling the relevant accountants from Finance to and Academy system and the make arrangements for all Financial Management Systems reconciliations between the Revenues Shared at both Watford Borough & Benefits systems and the Financial Services Council and Three Rivers Management System. Head of District Council throughout the Revenues & year are implemented before the **Benefits** go-live date in December 2009. Aareed. Since the fieldwork for this audit was completed it has become apparent that the subsidy routines for 2009/2010 cannot be run on the Arrangements should be CIVICA system until the appropriate confirmed to ensure that the Benefit updates have been loaded in March process for the production of the Manager for 5.2.29 HIGH 2010. March 2010 final subsidy claim information Shared x from the CIVICA Benefit system Service The consultant who is currently is adequately resourced. assisting with extracting data from CIVICA for testing on Academy will be approached with a view to securing his services in March 2010 to perform the upgrade to CIVICA and run the subsidy routines.

Housing and Council Tax Benefit Administrative Systems Final report issued January 2010 Ref No. Recommendation Priority Action to Date Responsibility Deadline Resolved Revised deadline x/√ The resulting reports can then be tested by the Performance & Training Senior Officer prior to entering data onto the subsidy claim form. Agreed. Since the fieldwork for this audit was completed a decision has been made 28 February Formal notice should be to keep a live version of the CIVICA 2010 (i.e. Benefit provided to CIVICA at the Benefit system until May 2010 to Manager for three months 5.2.30 support the subsidy claim process for earliest opportunity to maximise HIGH prior to the Shared × the refund due to the council on 2009/2010. Service end of May the licence fee for 2009/2010. 2010) CIVICA will however be informed at the appropriate time of the intention to terminate use of the live system and continue with a view only licence.

Benefit Subsidy Claim 2008/09 Final report issued September 2009 Ref No. Recommendation Priority Action to Date Responsibility Deadline Resolved Revised deadline x/√ The figures entered onto the final subsidy claim should be May 2010 cross checked for accuracy by a Benefit (when the second officer to the Manager next subsidy corresponding figures on the under Shared × claim is 5.1.26 source reports from the Benefit MEDIUM Agreed. Service + prepared) system as a basic double check Head of Post audit prior to submitting the claim for Revenues & note sign off by the Head of Strategic **Benefits** imminent. Finance and subsequent

May 2010

(when the

claim is

prepared)

As above

next subsidy

x

Benefits

Manager

Service

under Shared

submission to the DWP.

A comparative check between the current and previous years'

subsidy claims should be

to errors.

5.1.27

integrated with the other data

quality measures employed by

between the years are not due

Revenues & Benefits to provide

assurance that variations in cells

MEDIUM

Agreed.

5.1.28	Evidence should be maintained of all data quality checks performed on the interim and final subsidy claims.	HIGH	Agreed. The checked reports will be kept from now on. There may be an automated process within the Academy system that will maintain this audit trail following Shared Services implementation.	Performance & Training Manager	Immediately	*	
5.1.29	Evidence should be kept of the satisfactory conclusion of competence monitoring of new or temporary staff as part of the data quality arrangements within Revenues & Benefits.	MEDIUM	Agreed. A sign off form will be developed for this to ensure there is a consistent approach across the service. The Academy system includes an automated facility for quality checking which can be tailored to ensure that new staff are checked 100%. An audit trail is maintained within the Academy system itself for this checking.	Performance & Training Manager and the Performance & Training Senior Officers	October 2009	×	

5.2.19	The new processes for reconciling the gross expenditure figures on the subsidy claim (which originate from the Benefits system) to the net figures entered on the council's financial management system (which also originate from the Benefits system) should be developed and agreed between Finance and Revenues & Benefits and then documented prior to the completion of the subsidy claim for 2009/2010.	MEDIUM	Agreed.	Revenues & Benefits + Finance Shared Service Implementati on Teams	February 2010.	×	
5.2.20	A documented explanation for the differences between the gross expenditure figures reported on the subsidy claim and the net payment figures transferred to the financial management system should be developed in time for the preparation of the subsidy claim for 2009/2010. This explanation should be supported as far as technically possible by reports from the benefits system.	MEDIUM	Agreed.	Revenues & Benefits + Finance Shared Service Implementati on Teams	May 2010 Post audit note – imminent.	×	

5.2.21	Finance staff should have sufficient input to the implementation of the new benefits system to ensure that adequate reports are produced to support all reconciliations with the financial management system.	HIGH	Agreed. This will include agreeing the responsibility for performing rent allowance bank reconciliations following the implementation of Shared Services.	Revenues & Benefits + Finance Shared Service Implementati on Teams	November 2009	×	
5.2.22	Any remaining amounts of HRA property rent rebate paid over to the Watford Community Housing Trust should be reconciled to the totals on the benefit computer system monthly to demonstrate that all amounts due have either been paid over to the WCHT or offset against existing overpayments.	MEDIUM	Agreed. The Benefits Manager will liaise with the Performance & Training Manager to agree how this can best be achieved.	Benefit Manager and Performance & Training Manager	October 2009	*	